Numerical Investigation on Thermal Performance of a Composite Porous Radiant Burner under the Influence of a 2-D Radiation Field
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Abstract: This work presents a numerical study to investigate the heat transfer characteristics of a 2-D rectangular composite porous radiant burner (CPRB). In the construction of porous burner, the porous layer is considered to be of composite type consisting of upstream and downstream layers with equal thickness but with different physical and radiative properties. In the present work, a two dimensional rectangular model is used to solve the governing equations for porous medium and gas flow. In order to analyze the thermal characteristics of the CPRB, the coupled energy equations for the gas and porous medium are solved numerically and the discrete ordinates method is used to obtain the distribution of radiative heat flux in the porous media. Finally, the effects of various factors on the performance of CPRB are determined. Computational results show that high porosity and low scattering coefficient for downstream porous layer are desirable for maximizing the CPRB efficiency in comparison to a homogeneous one. Present results prove to be compatible with results obtained from previous studies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Combustion in porous burners differs significantly from free flames due to two main factors namely high surface area of the porous media resulting in efficient heat transfer between the gas and the solid, and fine mixing of fuel and oxidant in porous media which in turn increases effective diffusion and heat transfer in the gas phase. In the upstream region of the combustion zone, heat is transferred from solid phase to inlet fuel-air mixture that consists preheating and in the downstream, heat of combustion is transferred from hot gas products to solid phase which in turn is radiated to its surroundings [1]. This radiative converter has been applied to various industrial furnaces and has attained remarkable energy saving and combustion enhancement [2], [3]. Flames in porous media have higher burning velocities and leaner flammability limits than open flames. These effects are the consequence of a phenomena named "excess enthalpy combustion", where thermal energy that is generated in the combustion zone is transferred by radiation and conduction through the solid matrix to the unburned gases [4].

For the first time, the application of porous media in thermal systems, a study by Echigo showed that with an appropriate choice of optical thickness of the permeable media, up to 60 percent of the non-radiating gas energy can be saved due to energy conversion from gas enthalpy to thermal radiation [5]. The essential assumptions introduced in that study are that the system is one-dimensional and steady and scattering in the porous medium is negligible. Wang and Tien extended Echigo's analysis by introducing the effect of radiation scattering in the analysis [6]. They demonstrated that radiation scattering has an important effect on the effectiveness of the energy conversion system.

Tong and Sathe analyzed a PRB one-dimensional (1-D) solution. They used a 1-D conduction, convection and radiation model, while combustion was treated as a spatially dependent heat generation zone [7]. For radiative part, they used spherical harmonic method. Numerical results showed that the radiative output from a porous burner depends strongly on optical properties of porous layer. Echigo et al. investigated the use of porous media for combustion augmentation by considering a heat generation zone inside a porous medium [8]. They realized that the energy recirculated by radiation preheated the combustion mixture, resulting in significant combustion augmentation.

Sathe and Tong extended their earlier work by considering the actual combustion phenomena instead of constant heat generation zone [9]. They found that for maximizing radiant output, the optical depth should be large and the flame should be stabilized near the center of porous medium.

Mital et al. proposed a two section burner [10]. The downstream layer was found to absorb heat from the hot products and redistribute it; only a small portion of the radiation was transported to the upstream section of the burner due to the small pore diameter of upstream section. The upstream section absorbed radiation at the interface of the two sections, which aided in stabilizing the flame. Fu et al. investigated the thermal performance of porous radiant burners [11].

Considering an axisymmetric two-dimensional model. The results were compared with available experimental data for the purpose of model validation. Parametric calculations were performed using the model to improve understanding of the phenomena.

Leonardi et al. investigated a theoretical model to predict the thermal performance of inert direct-fired, woven-metal fiber-matrix porous radiant burner [12].

The calculated results for the burner surface temperature, the gas exhaust temperature and the radiation efficiency for a single layer burner were compared with experimental data and good agreement was obtained.

Li et al. developed a physical and mathematical model for simulating the directional radiative behavior of a porous radiant burner, in which the energy equations of tube wall and air are solved by finite-volume method, and the radiative source terms are determined by the Monte Carlo method [13]. The effects of relating parameters on the directional behavior of radiative heating and the radiation heating efficiency were analyzed.

Talukdar et al. analyzed a two-dimensional PRB with detailed radiation model [14-15]. Both transient and steady state characteristics were studied. The combustion was considered as a spatially dependent heat generating zone. The energy equations for gas and solid phases were solved numerically such that the radiative part was found using the collapsed dimension method. It must be noted that, this method needs very complicated computations for determining the radiative flux distribution in an emitting, absorbing and scattering media.

A 2-D rectangular porous burner was investigated by Mishra et al. [16]. The governing equations consist of two energy equations for gas and solid phases solved numerically for Methane-air combustion. The radiative part of the energy equation is modeled using the collapsed dimension method. They studied the effects of equivalence ratio, extinction coefficient and volumetric heat transfer coefficient on temperature and concentration profiles.

Thermal analysis of a homogeneous porous radiant burner (PRB) was carried out by the author using discrete ordinates method and a 2-D coordinate system.
In that work, a non-uniform combustion heat zone was considered as energy source in the proposed PRB. It was found that, using porous layers with large aspect ratio and with small scattering albedo causes an increase in the system efficiency to convert more energy from gas enthalpy to thermal radiation. Muthukumar et al. discussed the performance investigation of a porous radiant burner (PRB) used in LPG cooking stove. Performance of the burner was studied at different equivalence ratios and power intensities [18]. The combustion of liquid fuel in the porous burner was carried out to investigate evaporation mechanism and combustion behaviour by Krittacom et al. [19]. They found that the profile of the porous burner installed with porous emitter (PE) was higher than that of the porous burner without PE. A survey in the literature, proves that seldom has a research been carried out; analyzing composite porous burners. In the present research, it is attempted to introduce a more detailed model, simulating the thermal behavior of such a system. Therefore, the purpose of the present work is to develop a mathematical model to investigate the thermal characteristics of a composite porous radiant burner (CPRB) using 2-D rectangular coordinates. The analysis here employs discrete ordinates method for computing radiative heat flux in CPRBs. This method though expressed easily, retains important physical insights. On the other hand, it is clear from the literature review that less attention has been paid to analyzing CPRBs using discrete ordinates method. Therefore, the present work mainly aims at heat transfer analysis of a two-dimensional rectangular porous burner using a detailed radiation model based on discrete ordinates method. The burner is constructed of upstream and downstream porous layers, such that the combustion zone takes place just at the middle of porous burner Fig. 1.

Fig.1 Schematic diagram of the problem under consideration

Upstream and downstream layers have equal thickness but different properties. The model was developed to serve as a tool to support the design and optimization of different components in the CPRBs. Subsequently, numerical simulations were performed in order to clarify the complex heat transfer mechanisms and to gain some guidance for the design of the CPRBs. The analysis here employs discrete ordinates method for computing radiative heat flux in the burner. Because, this method remains simple in expressions but retains important physical insights. As a result of high porosity of porous the medium, thermal conductivity in this medium is low, and thus it is assumed that only convection and radiation take place in the porous matrix. However, in the gas flow, because gas is assumed to be non-radiative gas assumption, heat transfer occurs by conduction and convection. In the present analysis, an attempt is made to investigate the effects of radiation properties of the upstream and downstream layers on the performance of the CPRB. Besides, the thermal behavior of CPRB is compared to conventional homogeneous burners. For validation, the numerical results are compared with theoretical and experimental results of other investigators and compatibility of the results is proved.

2 THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

The problem under consideration is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The CPRB consists of two porous layers attached to each other which are indicated by zone 1 and 2, respectively. The composite porous matrix is assumed to be gray and isotropically emitting, absorbing and scattering media and its thickness in the flow direction is 2L=20 mm. Combustion zone, representing the flame, is situated in the region x1< x < x2. The porous burner is located between two parallel adiabatic and refractory plates which are the duct’s walls.

A gaseous fuel–air mixture enters the duct at x = −x1. The fluid velocity u_g is constant everywhere and the temperature distribution T_g is assumed uniform over every cross-section. The slug flow is assumed to be steady and laminar. Dimensions of the porous medium and adiabatic plates in the direction normal to gas flow are very large to ensure the two-dimensionality of the system. The porous matrix is assumed to be gray and isotropically emitting, absorbing and scattering media. Incident radiations \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) from the upstream and downstream sides, respectively, are applied to the system, simultaneously. Gaseous radiation is neglected in comparison to solid radiation, thus radiation is considered only between the particles that comprise the porous layer. All thermo physical properties of the solid and the gas phases for each layer are assumed constant. Since the solid and gas phases are not in

© 2011 IAU, Majlesi Branch
local-thermal equilibrium, separate energy equations are needed to describe energy transfer in these two phases. The governing equations inside the porous region which are the energy equations for the fluid flow and porous segment may be written respectively, as follows [7]:

\[ \nabla \cdot (\rho \Phi u_s c_s T_g) = \nabla \cdot (\Phi k_s \nabla T_g) - (1-\Phi)hA(T_g - T_p) + \phi Q(y) \delta(x) \]

(1)

\[ 0 = (1-\Phi)hA(T_p - T_g) - \nabla \cdot (k_p \nabla T_p) - \nabla q_v \]

(2)

In the above equations, \( A \) is the surface area per unit volume of the solid phase and \( h \) is the heat transfer coefficient between the fluid and the solid matrix. The subscripts "g" and "p" stand for gas and solid phases respectively. In solving the energy equation, it should be considered that the thermo-physical properties of porous media in upstream and downstream layers such as optical thickness, conductivity and scattering coefficient are different. The term \( \delta(x) \) is the delta function defined as unit for \( x_1 \leq x \leq x_2 \) and zero elsewhere and \( Q(y) \) is the non-uniform heat generation with a parabolic distribution, i.e. maximum heat released takes place at the mid-plan and the minimum values near the solid walls.

In the region sufficiently far from the porous layer so that the temperature gradient is low, the conduction term in the energy equation for the gas flow is neglected.

For parametric studies, the governing equations and the boundary conditions are non-dimensionalized by introducing the dimensionless parameters which are given in Table 1.

**Table 1** Non–dimensional parameters and their physical significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Physical significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_1 = \rho_s u_s c_s T_i / Q_L )</td>
<td>Flow enthalpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_2 = hA T_i / Q )</td>
<td>Convective energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_3 = k_s T_i / Q_L )</td>
<td>Gas conduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_4 = k_s T_i / Q_L )</td>
<td>Solid conduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_5 = \varepsilon L_s / k_p )</td>
<td>Biot number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_6 = \varepsilon k_p / \sigma T_i L_s )</td>
<td>Solid radiation number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using these dimensionless groups, the non-dimensional forms of the governing equations in the region \( 0 \leq x \leq x_3 \) can be obtained as follows:

\[ \varphi \lambda_6 \frac{\partial \theta_g}{\partial \eta_s} = -(1-\Phi)\lambda_2 (\theta_g - \theta_p) + \varphi \lambda_3 (\frac{\partial \theta_g}{\partial \eta_s} + \frac{\partial \theta_g}{\partial \eta_s}) + \varphi \delta(x) \]

\[ 0 = (1-\Phi)\lambda_2 (\theta_p - \theta_g) - (1-\Phi)\lambda_4 (\frac{\partial^2 \theta_g}{\partial \eta_s^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_g}{\partial \eta_s^2}) - \nabla Q \]

(3)

(4)

Equation (3) and (4) are to be solved by prescribing appropriate boundary conditions. At the inlet \( (x=x_i) \), the gas is at the ambient temperature \( T_i \), and at the outlet \( (x=x_e) \), adiabatic condition is assumed to prevail and the top and bottom walls of the duct are considered to be adiabatic. For the solid phase, at axial sections \( \eta_s = 0 \) and 1, the mechanism of heat transfer between solid and gas is by convection and radiation. Eqs. (3) and (4) are solved numerically with the boundary conditions presented above. Numerical calculations are performed by writing a computer program in MATLAB. The readers are referred to the reference [17] for more details.

To determine the radiative heat flux, the solid and gas phases are considered as a single homogeneous phase and the radiative transfer equation is solved. The heat source/sink term, \( \nabla Q \) due to radiation in solid phase that appears in Eq. (4), is calculated from Eq. (5) [19]:

\[ \nabla Q = \sigma_a(r) \left[ 4\pi I_b - \int I(r,s)d\Omega \right] \]

(5)

Radiative intensity is obtained by solving the radiative transfer equation [20]:

\[ \frac{dI(\vec{r},\hat{s})}{ds} = \hat{s} \cdot \nabla I(\vec{r},\hat{s}) - (\sigma_a(\vec{r}) - \sigma_s(\vec{r}))I(\vec{r},\hat{s}) + S(\vec{r},\hat{s}) \]

(6)

where the source fuction is [20]:

\[ S(\vec{r},\hat{s}) = \sigma_a(\vec{r}) I_b(\vec{r}) + \frac{\sigma_s(\vec{r})}{4\pi} \int I(\vec{r},\hat{s}') \phi(\hat{s}',\hat{s})d\Omega' \]

(7)

where, \( \sigma_s \) is the scattering coefficient and \( \phi(\hat{s}',\hat{s}) \) is the scattering phase function. The radiation intensity \( I(\vec{r},\hat{s}) \) is a function of position and direction. The expression on the left-hand side represents the gradient of the intensity in the specified direction \( \hat{s} \).
According to discrete ordinates method, equation (6) is solved for a set of \( n \) different directions \( s_i=1,2,...,n \) and the integrals over direction are replaced by numerical quadratures. Therefore, the radiative transfer equation is approximated by a set of \( n \) differential equations, as follows [19]:

\[
\frac{dI(r, \hat{s}_i)}{ds} = \hat{s}_i \nabla I(r, \hat{s}_i) - \sigma_a I_b(r) - \beta(r) I(r, \hat{s}_i) + \frac{\sigma_s(r)}{4\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j I(r, \hat{s}'_j) \varphi(\hat{r}, \hat{s}_i, \hat{s}_j) \quad i = 1,2,...,n
\]

where, \( w_j \) are the quadrature weights associated with the directions \( s_j \). This equation is subjected to the following boundary condition on the surface boundaries [19]:

\[
I(r_n, \hat{s}_i) = e(r_n) M_b(r_n) + \frac{\rho(r_n)}{\pi} \sum_{\hat{s}_j, \hat{s}_j \neq \hat{s}_i} I(r_n, \hat{s}_j) \hat{n} \hat{s}_j \bigg|_{\hat{s}_j}^{w_j} (9)
\]

for: \( \hat{n} \hat{s}_i > 0 \)

For the two-dimensional Cartesian geometry, the radiative transfer equation can be expressed for each individual ordinate direction \( m \), as follows [20]:

\[
\mu^m \frac{\partial I^m}{\partial \eta_x} + \zeta^m \frac{\partial I^m}{\partial \eta_y} = \sigma_a I^m_b - \beta I^m + \frac{\sigma_s}{4\pi} \sum w^m I^{m'} (10)
\]

where \( m \) and \( m' \) denote outgoing and incoming directions, while \( \mu^m \) and \( \zeta^m \) are the direction cosines of a discrete direction. In this way Eq. (5) is written as follows:

\[
\nabla Q = \sigma_s(r) \left( 4\pi I_b - 4\pi \sum_{m=1}^{M} I^m \right) (11)
\]

where \( M \) is the total number of ordinate directions and is related to the order of approximation \( N \), though relationship \( M = N (N+2) / 2 \).

### 3 METHOD OF SOLUTION

Non-dimensional forms of the governing equations, (Eqs. (3), (4) and (8)) are solved numerically to obtain the temperature and radiative heat flux distributions at each nodal point in the 2-D computational domain along the burner. Equations (3), (4) and (8) are coupled and must be solved simultaneously. For those purpose, the finite-difference forms of the gas and porous energy equations are obtained using the central differencing for derivative terms.

The governing equations are discretized in a uniform structural mesh, where the error of discretization is the order of \((\Delta x)^2\) or \((\Delta y)^2\). To check grid independency, various mesh points are tested and finally a uniform mesh \(40 \times 100\) is selected in x-and y-directions. Fig. 2(a) shows the results of gas temperature profiles for different mesh points where the profile is the same for the number of mesh points more than \(40 \times 100\).

The discrete ordinates method is used to transform the equation of radiative transfer to a set of ordinary differential equations, which are numerically solved simultaneously with the gas and solid energy equations [17]. The sequence of calculations can be stated as follows:

1- A first approximation for the temperature and the radiative heat flux distribution is assumed.

2- Radiative transfer equation is solved for obtaining the value of intensity, radiative heat flux distribution and divergence of radiative heat flux at each nodal point using discrete ordinate method.

3- Using the radiative heat flux distribution which is obtained in step 2, the solid energy equation is solved to calculate porous temperature \( \Theta_p \).

4- The finite difference form of gas energy equation is solved for obtaining the value of \( \Theta_g \) at each nodal point.

5- Steps 2 to 4 are repeated until convergence is achieved. Convergence for step 5 was assumed to have been achieved when the fractional changes in the temperature and radiative flux between two consecutive iteration levels did not exceed \(10^4\) at each grid point. For this purpose, 500 to 900 iterations for overall simulation were required, depending on the operating
condition. Fig. 2(b) depicts a flow chart for calculation procedures.

![Flow chart of the calculation procedures](image)

**4 VALIDATION OF COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS**

To validate the computational results, a homogeneous porous radiant burner as a test case was analyzed and the results were compared with the theoretical data given in reference [7]. The values of non-dimensional parameters for this test case which are the same as used by Tong and Sathe are given in "Table 1" [7]. It is seen that the maximum gas temperature occurs inside the heat generation zone such that radiation serves as a mechanism for heat removal from this region [17].

In order to confirm the validity of the present analysis for the energy conversion between gas enthalpy and thermal radiation, a test case is analyzed and the numerical computations are compared with the theoretical results given in references [5] and [6]. The values of non-dimensional parameters for this test are given in Table 2.

The variation of gas temperature inside the porous layer for the test case is shown in Fig. 3 in order to make a comparison between the results of the present computations and the theoretical predictions of other investigators. There is a considerable temperature drop in the gas flow, especially at the entrance of the layer. This is a marker for the basic principle of energy conversion.

**Table 2** Non-dimensional parameters of the test case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_1)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_2)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_3)</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_4)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_s)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_{1+})</td>
<td>54.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Gas temperature distribution inside the porous layer](image)

However, Fig. 3 shows a good consistency between the present results and those obtained in these references.

**5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In order to show the thermal behavior of the CPRB, the gas and porous temperature profiles \(\theta_g, \theta_p\) and also the variation of radiative fluxes \(q^+, q^-\) along a homogeneous burner at the mid-line \((y=L_y/2)\) are shown in Fig. 4. \(q^+\) and \(q^-\) are forward and backward radiative heat fluxes from burner, respectively. Also, the values of \(\varphi_1\) and \(\varphi_2\), \(\beta_1\) and \(\beta_2\), \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\) are represented as proosity, extinction coefficient and scattering albedo for upstream and downstream layers, respectively. It should be mentioned that for analyzing a homogeneous burner, the upstream and downstream layers which are attached to each other are the same. The heat generation zone position represented by \(z_H = (x_1 + x_2)/2x_1\) is equal to 0.5, that is, the flame was regarded as suited in the middle of porous
segment. In the computations of problem, all of the geometrical dimensions are shown in Table 3.

Table 3  Geometrical dimensions at the test case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( L_x )</td>
<td>1(cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_y )</td>
<td>4(cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta x = x_2 - x_1 )</td>
<td>0.2 (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>0.9(cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>1.1(cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>2(cm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the radiative heat fluxes, Fig. 4(b) shows that the maximum value of \( q_{+} \) and \( q_{-} \) occur outside the combustion zone. The value of downstream radiative flux \( q_{+} \) at \( x = x_3 \) is the radiative output which is very important in improving the radiant burner efficiency. For a composite porous burner, Fig. 5(a) shows the effect of the absorption coefficient on the radiative heat flux distribution with different extinction coefficients for upstream layer, while the extinction coefficient for downstream layer is kept constant.

Fig. 4(a) shows that the gas and porous temperatures increase along the flow direction at the entrance of the burner, such that maximum values of these variables occur in the combustion zone.

Fig. 4(b) shows that the maximum value of \( q_{+} \) and \( q_{-} \) occur outside the combustion zone. The value of downstream radiative flux \( q_{+} \) at \( x = x_3 \) is the radiative output which is very important in improving the radiant burner efficiency.

It is seen that the extinction coefficient of the upstream layer does not have a considerable effect on the burner radiant output. But, if one notices to Fig. 5(b), it can be concluded that the extinction coefficient of the downstream layer has a main role on generating the radiant output, such that as the value of \( \beta_2 \) increases in a certain range, the radiant output of CPRB increases by converting more of the convective energy to...
radiative energy, but higher value of $\beta_2$ results in a lower radiant output from the CPRB.

The optimal value of $\beta_2$ depends on radiative properties of porous layers. Also for comparison, operation of porous burner with homogeneous layer is shown at this figure.

One of important factors in the performance of CPRBs is the porosity of layers. The effect of porosity for upstream layer on radiative heat flux, $q_r$, along the flow direction is shown in Fig. 6(a). It is seen that for all cases, the maximum values of radiant output remains almost constant and variation of upstream layer porosity is not effective on the performance of CPRB. But in Fig. 6(b) it is seen that, the radiant output at the outlet section increases as the porosity value of downstream layer $\phi_2$ increases, such that the porosity about 0.93 seems enough to obtain the sufficient efficiency and the higher values of $\phi_2$, decreases the value of radiant output. It is due to this fact that the porosity has two folds effects on the radiative output such that, by increasing the porosity from a small value, the amount of surface area for convection process between gas flow and solid phase increases that leads to increase the burner radiant output. But further increase in the porosity, causes a decrease in the number of solid particles in unit volume of the porous layer and therefore, decrease in the radiative heat flux from the solid phase.

The effect of scattering albedo on radiative heat flux distribution, $q_r$ along the flow direction is shown in Fig. 7. The variations of radiant output for three different values of scattering albedo for upstream layer is shown in Fig. 7(a).

This figure shows that there is not a considerable variation of radiative output at different scattering albedo. Besides, it is seen in Fig. 7(b) that a lower scattering albedo for downstream results in a higher radiative heat flux along the burner and also higher radiant output.
6 CONCLUSION

A two-dimensional numerical study of heat transfer within composite porous radiant burner has been conducted. The system has two porous layers, which have equal thickness and different radiative properties. In the combustion zone, a non-uniform generation is used to simulate combustion process and radiation of the solid phase is taken into consideration using discrete ordinate method for calculation of radiative heat fluxes but the gas phase is considered to be non-radiating media. Computational results show that the material and structure of downstream layer have significant influences on the radiant output and efficiency in the CPRB. The results show that a composite porous burner with an optimal absorption coefficient (thereby, $\beta_2 = 50 m^{-1}$) and small scattering albedo (thereby, $\omega_2 = 0.1$) for downstream layer causes a large radiant output at the outlet of the CPRB. Also, it is found that, the downstream layer with high porosity (thereby, $\phi_2 = 0.95$), operates efficiently. Finally, the three parameters $\beta_2$, $\phi_2$ and $\omega_2$ for the downstream layer are found to be the main design parameters for this type of CPRB.

7 NOMENCLATURE

$B$ incoming radiation (W/m2)
$B'$ non-dimensional incoming radiation
$L_x$ length of porous layer (m)
$L_y$ height of porous layer (m)
$c_g$ specific heat of gas (J/KgK)
$h$ heat transfer coefficient (W/m2K)
$I$ intensity (W/m2)
$k_g$ thermal conductivity of gas (W/mK)
$k_p$ thermal conductivity of solid (W/mK)
$q$ radiative heat flux (W/m2)
$Q$ dimensionless radiative heat flux, ($q/\dot{Q}L_x$)
$\theta$ non-dimensional temperature, $T/T_i$
$\theta_i$ upstream ambient temperature
$\theta_e$ downstream ambient temperature
$\beta$ extinction coefficient (m-1)
$\varepsilon$ emissivity
$\rho$ reflection coefficient
$\rho_g$ gas density (kg/m3)
$\sigma$ Stefan-boltzmann constant (W/m2K4)
$\sigma_a$ absorption coefficient (m-1)
$\sigma_s$ scattering coefficient (m-1)
$\tau$ optical depth, ($\beta x$)
$\phi$ porosity
$\omega$ scattering albedo, ($\sigma_a/\beta$)

Subscripts

g gas
p solid phase
1, 2 inlet and exit of combustion zone
3 exit of porous burner
b black body

Superscripts

$m$ exit radiation direction
$m'$ inlet radiation direction
+ downstream direction
- upstream direction
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