Faults Diagnosis of a Girth Gear using Discrete Wavelet Transform and Artificial Neural Networks
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Abstract: In this paper, a fault diagnosis system based on discrete wavelet transform (DWT) and artificial neural networks (ANNs) was designed to diagnose different types of faults in gears. DWT is an advanced signal-processing technique for fault detection and identification. Five features of wavelet transform RMS, crest factor, kurtosis, standard deviation and skewness of discrete wavelet coefficients of normalized vibration signals have been selected. These features are considered as the feature vector for training purpose of the ANN. A wavelet selection criteria, namely Maximum Energy to Shannon Entropy ratio, was used to select an appropriate mother wavelet and discrete level, for feature extraction. To ameliorate the algorithm, various ANNs were exploited to optimize the algorithm so as to determine the best values for “number of neurons in hidden layer” resulted in a high-speed, meticulous three-layer ANN with a small-sized structure. The diagnosis success rate of this ANN was 100% for experimental data set. An experimental set of data has been used to verify the effectiveness and accuracy of the proposed method. To develop this method in general fault diagnosis application, an example was investigated in cement industry. At first, a MLP network with well-formed and optimized structure (20:12:3) and remarkable accuracy was presented providing the capability to identify different faults of gears. Then this neural network with optimized structure was presented to diagnose different faults of gears. The performance of the neural networks in learning, classifying and general fault diagnosis were found encouraging and can be concluded that neural networks have high potentiality in condition monitoring of the gears with various faults.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Condition monitoring of machines is gaining importance in industry because of the need to increase reliability and to decrease possible loss of production due to machine breakdown. The use of vibration and acoustic emission (AE) signals is quite common in the field of condition monitoring of rotating machinery. The vibration monitoring of bearings and gearboxes due to their importance in industry and their vibration signal characteristics has been an interesting topic for researchers in this field. Therefore, fault diagnostics and monitoring techniques for bearing and gearboxes have been improved in a short time frame. Interests in automating the fault detection and diagnosis of machinery and reducing human errors have encouraged researchers to use soft computing methods. Artificial neural networks (ANNs) and fuzzy logic are used for identifying the machinery condition, while the genetic algorithm is used to optimize the monitoring system parameters. Fuzzy logic-based condition monitoring systems require expert’s information of machinery faults and their symptoms. Wu and Hsu designed a fuzzy logic-based fault diagnosis system for a gearbox system [1]. However, these systems are fast and close to human inference rules and qualitative measurement techniques. On the other hand, monitoring systems based on ANNs do not require any background on the machinery characteristics and can be trained using a data set of machinery vibrations in different fault conditions.

Rafiee et al., used a multiple-layer perceptron ANN to classify three different fault conditions and one no-fault condition of a gearbox [2]. Also the genetic algorithm has been used as an effective tool for evolving monitoring systems and boosting their accuracy and speed of fault diagnosis process. One of the most significant issues in intelligent monitoring is related to feature extraction. For this purpose different techniques of vibration analysis such as time, frequency and time–frequency domain are extensively used. Samantha and Balushi [3] have presented a procedure for fault diagnosis of rolling element bearings through artificial neural network (ANN). The characteristic features of time-domain vibration signals of the rotating machinery with normal and defective bearings have used as inputs to the ANN. Yang et al., have proposed a method of fault feature extraction for roller bearings based on intrinsic mode function (IMF) envelope spectrum [4]. Fault diagnosis of turbo-pump rotor based on support vector machines with parameter optimization by artificial immunization algorithm has been done by Yuan and Chu [5]. Traditional techniques like Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) which is used for analysis of the vibration signals are not appropriate to analyze signals that have transitory characteristics. Moreover, the analysis is greatly dependent on the machine load, and correct identification of much closed fault frequency components requires a very high resolution data [6]. Wavelet transform (WT), a very powerful signal-processing tool can be used to analyze transients signal as well as eliminating load dependency, and is capable of processing stationary and non-stationary signals in time and frequency domains simultaneously and can be used for feature extraction (Daubechies,1991).

WT can be mainly divided into discrete (DWT) and continuous (CWT) forms. The discrete forms are faster with lower CPU time, but continuous forms generate an awful lot of data, so CWT has not been widely applied in the field of condition monitoring. Lei et al., have proposed a method for intelligent fault diagnosis of rotating machinery based on wavelet packet transform (WPT), empirical mode decomposition (EMD), dimensionless parameters, a distance evaluation technique and radial basis function (RBF) network [7]. The effectiveness of wavelet based features for fault diagnosis of gears using support vector machines (SVM) and proximal support vector machines (PSVM) has been revealed by Saravanan et al., [8]. Various artificial intelligence techniques have been used with wavelet transforms for fault detection in rotating machines [9-15]. In the present study general fault diagnosis of gears has been investigated, therefore a multiple layer perceptron ANN was designed to classify three different conditions of gears. The vibration signals acquired from a test-rig were first preprocessed using discrete wavelet transform and then ANNs were designed to classify different faults. Then the designed ANN was developed for general fault diagnosis of gears. The performance of designed ANNs in general fault diagnosis was found encouraging.

2 THEORY OF ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS

An artificial neural network is a nonlinear mapping tool that relates a set of inputs to a set of outputs. It can learn this mapping using a set of training data and then generalize the obtained knowledge to a new set of data [16]. Today, ANNs have a variety of applications. As a classifier, one of the most commonly used ANNs is the Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) network. There are three types of layers in any MLP: the output layer, the input layer and the hidden layer. Each layer is comprised of n nodes (n ≥ 1) and each node in any layer is connected to all the nodes in the neighboring layers. Each node can also be connected to a constant number which is called bias.

These connections have their individual weights which are called synaptic weights and are multiplied to the
node values of the previous layer. Input and output data
dimensions of the ANN determine the number of nodes
in the input and output layers respectively, but the
number of hidden layers and their nodes is determined
heuristically. The number of hidden layers and nodes in
an MLP is proportional to its classification power.
However, there is an optimum number of hidden layers
and nodes for each case and considering more than
those amounts leads to over fitting of the classifier and
increases the computations substantially. The value of
any node can be computed through Eq. (1).

$$a^{l+1} = f^{l+1}(W^{l+1}a^{l} + b^{l+1})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where \(a^l\), \(b^l\) and \(l\) are output vector, bias vector and
layer number, respectively. \(W\) is the synaptic weights
matrix of the MLP. \(f^l\) is the activation function of the
\(l\)th layer and can be used to create nonlinear boundaries
for the classifier. For example “sigmoid” is an
activation function which can be used to bound the
node values between 0 and 1. After setting the structure
of the MLP ANN, it should be trained. Training an
ANN means adjusting the synaptic weights in
such a way that any particular input leads to the desired
output, where it may be conducted by different
algorithms. One of the most commonly used learning
algorithms is resilient back propagation, which is used
in this paper. For any learning algorithm, a limit should
be defined to stop the learning process, which is called
Stopping Criterion and usually consists of the
following rules or all of them simultaneously:
(a) The error root mean square in an epoch becomes
less than a predefined value.
(b) Error gradient becomes less than a predefined
value.
(c) The number of epochs reaches a predefined number.

3 DATA ACQUISITION EXPERIMENTS

The experimental setup to collect dataset consists of a
one-stage gearbox with spur gears, a flywheel and an
electrical motor with a constant nominal rotation speed
of 1400 RPM. Electrical motor, gearbox and flywheel
are attached together through flexible couplings as
shown in Fig. 1. Table 1 depicts gears specifications.
Vibration signals were obtained in radial direction by
mounting the accelerometer on the top of the gearbox.
“Easy viber” data collector and its software,
“SpectraPro”, were used for data acquisition. Table 2
shows accelerometer probe specifications. The signals
were sampled at 16000 Hz lasting 2 s. In the present
study, three pinion wheels whose details are as
mentioned in Table 1 were used. One wheel was new
and assumed to be free from defects. In the other two
pinion wheels, defects were created. The raw vibration
signals acquired from the gearbox when it is loaded
with various pinion wheels discussed above. The
vibration signal from the piezoelectric transducer
(accelerometer) is captured for the following
conditions: Good Spur Gear, Spur Gear with tooth
breakage, and Spur Gear with face wear of the teeth.

Table 1 Gear wheel and pinion details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Pinion wheel</th>
<th>Gear wheel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of teeth</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal pressure angle</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>20°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top clearance</td>
<td>0.3mm</td>
<td>0.3mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>C.K.45</td>
<td>C.K.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Accelerometer probe characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Multi-Purpose Accelerometer, Top Exit Connector / Cable, 100 mV/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>100 mV/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Response (±3dB)</td>
<td>30-900,000 CPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Range</td>
<td>± 50 g, peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Temp</td>
<td>121°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 FEATURE SELECTION

The process of computing some measures which represent a signal is called feature extraction. Wavelet based analysis is an exciting new problem solving tool for the mathematicians, scientists and engineers. It fits naturally with the digital computer with its bias functions defined by summations not integrals or derivatives. Unlike most traditional expansion systems, the basis functions of the wavelet analysis are not solutions of differential equations. In some areas, it is the first truly new tool we have had in many years. Indeed, use of wavelet transforms requires a new point of view and a new method of interpreting representations that we are still learning how to exploit.

In the early studies, Fourier analysis has been the dominating signal analysis tool for fault detection. But, there are some crucial restrictions of the Fourier transform (Peng & Chu, 2004).

The signal to be analyzed must be strictly periodic or stationary; otherwise, the resulting Fourier spectrum will make little physical sense [17]. Unfortunately, gears and bearings vibration signals are often non-stationary and represent non-linear processes, and their frequency components will change with time. Therefore, the Fourier transform often cannot fulfill the gears and bearings fault diagnosis task pretty well. On the other hand, the time–frequency analysis methods can generate both time and frequency information of a signal simultaneously through mapping one-dimensional signal to a two-dimensional time–frequency plane. Among all available time–frequency analysis methods, the wavelet transforms may be the best one and have been widely used for gears and bearings fault detection [18].

4.1. Theoretical background of wavelet transform

The wavelet transform (WT) is a time-frequency decomposition of a signal into a set of “wavelet” basis functions. In this section, we review the continuous wavelet transform (CWT) and the discrete wavelet transform (DWT). Figure 2 shows the sample signals in time domain for various gears conditions.

4.1.1. Continuous wavelet transform (CWT)

The continuous wavelet transform of a time function \( f(t) \) is given by the equation:

\[
T(a,b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \psi^*_{a,b}(t) dt \tag{2}
\]

Where * denotes complex conjugation, while Eq. (3) is a member of the wavelet basis, derived from the basic analysis wavelet \( \psi(t) \) through translation and dilation.

\[
\psi_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \psi \left( \frac{t-b}{a} \right) \quad (a, b \in R, a \neq 0) \tag{3}
\]

As seen in Eq. (3), the transformed signal \( T(a,b) \) is defined on the \( a-b \) plane, where \( a \) and \( b \) are used to adjust the frequency and the time location of the wavelet in Eq. (3). A small \( a \) produces a high-frequency (contracted) wavelet when high-frequency resolution is needed. The WT’s superior time-localization properties stem from the finite support of the analysis wavelet: as \( b \) increases, the analysis wavelet transverses the length of the input signal, and \( a \) increases or decreases in response to changes in the signal’s local time and frequency content. Finite support implies that the effect of each term in the wavelet representation is purely localized. This sets the WT apart from the Fourier Transform, where the effects of adding higher frequency sine waves are spread throughout the frequency axis.

4.1.2. Discrete wavelet transform (DWT)

Discrete methods are required for computerized implementation of the WT. The DWT is derived from the CWT through discretization of the wavelet \( \psi_{a,b}(t) \). The most common discretization of the wavelet is the dyadic discretization, given by:

\[
\psi_{j,k}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^j}} \psi \left( \frac{t-2^j k}{2^j} \right) \tag{4}
\]

Where \( a \) has been replaced by \( 2^j \) and \( b \) by \( 2^j k \) [19], [20]. Under suitable conditions Eq. (4) is an
orthonormal basis of $L^2\mathbb{R}$, and the original time function can be expressed as:

$$ f(t) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(t) $$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$ c_{j,k} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \psi^*_{j,k}(t) \, dt $$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Where $c_{j,k}$ are referred to as wavelet coefficients. A second set of basis function called scaling function is then obtained by applying multi-resolution approximations to obtain the orthonormal basis of $\psi(t)$:

$$ \phi_{j,k}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^j}} \phi \left( \frac{t-2^j k}{2^j} \right) $$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The original time function can now be written as:

$$ d_{j,k} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \phi^*_{j,k}(t) \, dt $$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Here, the $d_{j,k}$, which are called the scaling coefficients, $d_{0,k}$ is the sampled version of $f(t)$, represent a $j$th order resolution discretization of $f(t)$. The scaling coefficients and the wavelet coefficients for resolutions of order greater than $j$ can be obtained iteratively by:

$$ d_{j+1,k} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} h(i-2k) d_{j,k} $$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$ c_{j+1,k} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} g(i-2k) c_{j,k} $$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The sequences $h$ and $g$ are low-pass and high-pass filters derived from the original analyzing wavelet $\psi(t)$. The scaling coefficients $d_{j,k}$ represent the lower frequency approximations of the original signal, and the wavelet coefficients $c_{j,k}$ represent the distribution of successively higher frequencies. The inverse DWT yields a difference series representation for the input signal $d_{0,k}$ in terms of the filters $h$ and $g$ and the wavelet coefficients $c_{j,k}$:

$$ d_{j,k} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k-2i) d_{j+1,i} + \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} g(k-2i) c_{j+1,i} $$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The wavelet filters adopted determine the quality of the wavelet analysis. For example for the Daubechies wavelets of length 2:

$$ h(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, h(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, g(0) = h(1), g(1) = h(0) $$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Since the input signal $f(t)$ is discretized into $N$ samples, Eqs. (9) and (10) can be written in the form of matrix:

$$ \begin{pmatrix}
    d_{1,1} \\
    c_{1,1} \\
    d_{1,2} \\
    c_{1,2} \\
    \vdots \\
    d_{1,N/2} \\
    d_{1,N/2} \\
\end{pmatrix} = T_N
\begin{pmatrix}
    d_{0,1} \\
    c_{0,2} \\
    d_{0,3} \\
    c_{0,4} \\
    \vdots \\
    d_{0,N-1} \\
    d_{0,N} \\
\end{pmatrix} $$

Where

$$ T_N = \begin{pmatrix}
    h(0) & h(1) & 0 & \cdots \\
    g(0) & g(1) & 0 & \cdots \\
    0 & h(0) & h(1) & 0 \\
    0 & g(0) & g(1) & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & 0 & h(0) \\
    h(1) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
    g(1) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix} $$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The scaling coefficients $d_{j+1,k}(k = 1 \sim N/2^{j+1})$ and the wavelet coefficients $c_{j+1,k}$ of the $(j + 1)$th order resolution can be obtained by applying the $N/2^j \times N/2^j$ matrix $T_{N/2}^{-1}$ to the scaling coefficients of the $j$th order $d_{j,k}(k = 1 \sim N/2^j)$. When the number of data points is $N = 2^j$, all of the wavelet coefficients are obtained after $(i - 1)$ iterations of Eq. (13).

The inverse DWT is performed in a similar manner by straightforward inversion of the orthogonal matrix $T_N$. The wavelet analysis has the advantage of better performance for non-stationary signals, representing a time signal in terms of a set of wavelets. They are constituted for a family of functions which are derived from a single generating function called mother wavelet, from dilation and translation processes. Dilation is related with size, and it is also known as scale parameter while translation is the position variation of the selected wavelet along the time axis where this process is illustrated in Fig. 3.
For gears fault detection, the frequency ranges of the vibration signals that are to be analyzed are often rather wide; and according to Shannon sampling theorem, a high sampling speed is needed, and sequentially, large size samples are needed for the gears fault detection. Therefore, it is expected that the desired method should have good computing efficiency. Unfortunately, the computing of continuous wavelet transform (CWT) is somewhat time consuming and is not suitable for large size data analysis and on-line fault diagnosis. The discrete wavelet transform (DWT), which is based on sub-band coding, is found to yield a fast computation of Wavelet Transforms. It is easy to implement, and reduce the computation time and resources required. Hence, it is taken up for this study.

4.2. Mother wavelet selection

One of the most significant issues in wavelet transform is related to mother wavelet selection. For this purpose researchers have used various methods such as the genetic algorithm [21], decision tree [22], etc. Entropy is a common concept in many fields, mainly in signal processing [23]. In the present study, the “Shannon entropy” will be used in various fault conditions after data preprocessing of wavelet transform, and a wavelet selection criteria are used to select an appropriate mother wavelet for feature extraction.

4.2.1. Maximum energy to Shannon entropy ratio criterion

An appropriate wavelet is selected as the base wavelet, which can extract the maximum amount of Energy while minimizing the Shannon Entropy of the corresponding wavelet coefficients. A combination of the Energy and Shannon entropy content of a signal’s wavelet coefficients is denoted by Energy to Shannon Entropy ratio [24-25] and is given as:

\[ \xi(n) = \frac{E(n)}{S_{\text{entropy}}(n)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Where the energy at each resolution level \( n \) is given by:

\[ E(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |C_{n,i}|^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The total energy can be obtained by:

\[ E_{\text{total}} = -\sum_n \sum_i |C_{n,i}|^2 = \sum_n E(n) \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Where \( m \) is the number of wavelet coefficients and \( C_{n,i} \) is the \( i^{th} \) wavelet coefficient of \( n^{th} \) scale. Entropy of signal wavelet coefficients is given by:

\[ S_{\text{entropy}}(n) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log_2 p_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Where \( p_i \) is the energy probability distribution of the wavelet coefficients, defined as:

\[ p_i = \frac{|C_{n,i}|^2}{E(n)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

With \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i = 1 \), and in the case of \( p_i = 0 \) for some \( i \), the value of \( p_i \log_2 p_i \) is taken as zero. The following steps explain the methodology for selecting a base wavelet for the vibration signals under study:

1. In this study, Good Spur Gear, Spur Gear with tooth breakage and Spur Gear with face wear of the teeth were considered. Total of 42 vibration signals in time domain were obtained in vertical directions for different gear conditions. For healthy and faulty gears, discrete wavelet coefficients (DWT) of vibration signals were calculated using 36 different mother wavelets: Haar, Daubechies (db2-db10), Symlet (sym2-sym11), Coiflet (coif1-coif5), Bi-orthogonal (bior1.1, bior1.2, bior3.3, bior3.1, bior2.8, bior2.6, bior2.4, bior2.2, bior1.5, bior1.3, bior3.5), where discrete approximation of Meyer was selected.

2. Wavelet selection criterion was used to select an appropriate mother wavelet using Energy to Shannon Entropy ratio as:

The Total Energy and Total Shannon Entropy of DWT in third and fourth decomposition levels were calculated for vibration signals at different conditions using healthy and faulty gears and bearings. The Total Energy to Total Shannon Entropy ratio for each wavelet was calculated as shown in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4 Total Energy to Total Shannon Entropy ratio for 36 mother wavelet](image-url)
decomposition level was determined to be the most appropriate level for this case study. 
3. The wavelet having Maximum Energy to Shannon Entropy ratio was considered for fault diagnosis of gears.

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Fig. 5** Total Energy to Total Shannon Entropy ratio for 1~4 decomposition levels of Daubechies mother wavelets

### 5 FEATURE EXTRACTION AND FAULTS CLASSIFICATION

Based on wavelet selection criteria, Bi-orthogonal (bior3.1) wavelet was selected as the best base wavelet among the other wavelets considered. The vibration signals associated with various conditions of gears explained in Section 3 have been decomposed using ‘bior3.1’ wavelet. The approximated and detailed coefficients for various conditions of gears with various fault gears are shown in Fig. 6.

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

From Fig. 6a–c, the signal ‘s’ represents the actual vibration signal whereas ‘a3’ represents the approximation at level 3 of bior3.1 wavelet and ‘d1’ to ‘d3’ represents the coefficients details at level 1~3, respectively. The wavelet tree representation of the vibration signals gives a clear idea about how the original signal is reconstructed using the approximations and details at various levels. The wavelet tree representation of the good pinion wheel is shown in Fig. 6d.

© 2014 IAU, Majlesi Branch
Fig. 6  Actual vibration signal (S), approximation (A3) and details coefficients (D1–D3) of wavelet decomposition (level 3 of bior3.1 wavelet). (a) Good Spur Gear, (b) Spur Gear with tooth breakage, (c) Spur Gear with face wear of the teeth (d) Wavelet tree.

The coefficients obtained using this wavelet transforms were further subjected to statistical analysis, and the statistical features were extracted for all the approximation and details coefficients of DWT. Root mean square (RMS) value, crest factor, kurtosis, skewness, standard deviation, mean, shape factor, etc., are most commonly used statistical measures for fault diagnosis of gears [22], [25], [26]. Statistical moments like kurtosis, skewness and standard deviation are descriptors of the shape of the amplitude distribution of vibration data collected from a gear. Therefore, in the present paper, RMS, crest factor and statistical moments like kurtosis, skewness and standard deviation are used, as features effectively indicated early faults occurring in gears. These features are briefly described as follows:

**RMS:** is a statistical measure of the magnitude of a varying quantity.

\[
\text{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}
\]  \hfill (19)

**Crest Factor:** The crest factor or peak-to-average ratio (PAR) is a measurement of a waveform, calculated from the peak amplitude of the waveform divided by the RMS value of the waveform.

\[
\text{Crest Factor} = \frac{\text{Peak level}}{\text{RMS}}
\]  \hfill (20)

**Standard deviation:** Standard deviation is measure of energy content in the vibration signal.

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)^2}{n(n-1)}}
\]  \hfill (21)

**Kurtosis:** A statistical measure used to describe the distribution of observed data around the mean. Kurtosis is defined as the degree to which a statistical frequency curve is peaked.

\[
\text{Kurtosis} = \frac{\frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s} \right)^4 - \frac{3(n-1)^2}{(n-2)(n-3)}}
\]  \hfill (22)

**Skewness:** Skewness characterizes the degree of a symmetry of distribution around its mean. Skewness can be negative or positive.

\[
\text{Skewness} = \frac{n}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s} \right)^3
\]  \hfill (23)

In the above equations \( x_i \) is vibration signal data, \( \bar{x} \) is mean of vibration signal data and \( n \) is the number of vibration signal data. These statistical features were fed as input to ANN, for faults classification.

Fig. 7  ”Fault Detector” program

6  RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To develop this method in the general diagnosis of gears a computer program called ”fault detector” was provided. An image of this program depicted in Fig. 7.
Some of the program menus are briefly described as follow:
"Identify new machine" and "Select existent machine" menus are used to define a machine and train its corresponding network.
"Load signal" menu is used to upload a signal in time domain.
"DWT" menu is used to apply discrete wavelet transform on the signal and extract feature vector.
"Analyze" menu depicts result of fault diagnosis by applying feature vector to ANN.

6.1. Fault diagnosis of test-rig set
In the first step the fault diagnosis of test rig set is considered that was used for data acquisition and ANN training.

6.1.1. Application of ANN for problem at hand
For each faults namely, Good Spur Gear, Spur Gear with tooth breakage, Spur Gear with face wear of the teeth, 10 feature vectors consisting of 20 feature values as mentioned before were calculated from the experimental vibration signals (Sec. 3). Five samples in each class were used for training and five reserved for testing ANN. Training was done by selecting a neural network of three layers, including input, hidden, and output layers.

6.1.2. Results of ANN
The architecture of the artificial neural network is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network type:</th>
<th>Forward neural network trained with feedback propagation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of neurons in input layer:</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of neurons in hidden layer:</td>
<td>Varied from 6 to 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of neurons in output layer:</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For hidden layer the necessary and sufficient number of neurons must be selected. One of the problems that occur during neural network training is over fitting. The error on the training set is driven to a very small value, however, it is large when the new data is presented to the network. The network has memorized the training examples, but it has not learned to generalize those to new situations. One method for improving network generalization is to use a network that is just large enough to provide an adequate fit. In this study the numbers of neurons in the hidden layer were selected by trial and error. A total of six networks with different hidden layers with characteristics mentioned above were created for classifying the faults. The training was done with 15 data set attributes and the cross validation was done using 15 data sets. The efficiency of classification of gears faults using above networks has been reported in tables 3-4.

At first, as shown in these tables, increase of neurons in the hidden layer improves the efficiency of classification. The number of neurons in the hidden layer is optimized in 12 neurons and greater number of hidden layer neurons (15, 18 or 21) will not affect efficiency. Therefore, an artificial neural network with 20:12:3 layers was utilized for fault diagnosis. The overall average efficiency of entire classification using ANN was found to be 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Results of ANN classifiers with different number of hidden-layer neurons for various gears conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machine condition</td>
<td>Correct diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Gear</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear with tooth breakage</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear with wear of the teeth</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2. Fault diagnosis on girth gear

In this example vibration signal of a girth gear is checked. This girth gear is used to rotate a ball mill in cement industry. Table 5 shows characteristics of this large gear. A vibration signal was collected on journal bearing of its pinion. The designed neural network was used for this girth gear trouble shooting. For this purpose the feature vector was extracted from vibration signal and applied to the neural network. Diagnostic results indicated breakage and wear of the teeth. The accuracy of the result was confirmed after girth gear inspection.

Table 5 Girth gear and pinion characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed(RPM)</th>
<th>Teeth no.</th>
<th>Module</th>
<th>Outer diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Girth gear</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinion</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 CONCLUSION

This paper has outlined the definition of the discrete wavelets transform and then demonstrated how it can be applied to the analysis of the vibration signals produced by gears in various conditions and faults. A wavelet selection criterion “Maximum Energy to Shannon Entropy ratio” was used to select an appropriate wavelet and Bi-orthogonal wavelet (bior3.1) was selected for feature extraction. Five statistical features (Root mean square (RMS) value, crest factor, kurtosis, skewness, standard deviation) were extracted for all the approximation and details coefficients of DWT.

These features were fed as input to neural network for classification of various faults of the gears. A MLP network with well-formed and optimized structure (20:12:3) and remarkable accuracy was presented providing the capability to identify different gears faults. The performance of the neural network in learning, classifying and general fault diagnosis were found encouraging and can be concluded that neural networks and wavelet transform have high potentiality in condition monitoring of the gears with various faults.
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